1	November, December, 1988 did you talk with Mr. Crutchfield		
2	to find out when he put the booster and pre-amplifier on his		
3	antenna system?		
4	A No.		
5	Q He did not bring that to your attention?		
6	A No.		
7	Q Do you know whether Mr. Crutchfield's booster and		
8	pre-amplifier were connected to all of his television sets or		
9	just one?		
10	A I do not know. I do not know.		
11	Q All right, did you ask him?		
12	A No.		
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Mr. Stewart, Mr. Crutchfield mentioned		
14	to you that he was going to sue you and the station, correct?		
15	WITNESS: Yes.		
16	JUDGE STIRMER: And the reason he was going to sue you		
17	and the station was because your station was depriving him of		
18	his ability to receive television signals that he previously		
19	received?		
20	WITNESS: Yes.		
21	MR. SHOOK: Now, Mr. Stewart, I'm going to place before		
22	you copies of our exhibits so that you have them up here.		
23	Now, Mr. Stewart, I would like to direct your attention to		
24	Mass Media Exhibit No. 25. Why don't you take a few moments		
25	to familiarize yourself with that?		

1	(Pause	.)
2		JUDGE STIRMER: Have you had a chance to view that
3	documer	nt, Mr. Stewart?
4		WITNESS: Yes.
5		JUDGE STIRMER: All right, do you have a question?
6		MR. SHOOK: Yes, Your Honor.
7		BY MR. SHOOK:
8	Q	Now, you recall receiving this document, do you not?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And when you received it, did you read it?
11	A	No.
12	Q	And is there any particular reason why you didn't read
13	it?	
14	A	I was not in the position to read it.
15	Q	All right, and why were you not in a position to read
16	it?	
17	A	Because of Mr. Jim Baggett.
18	Q	Well, sir, look at the dates, please. This is long
19	after M	Mr. Baggett has left the station. Do you see that?
20	A	Yes, down here. Yes.
21	Q	Okay. This document came to you came to the station
22	at the	end of 1990, or, you know, it's reflected October 30,
23	1990 wa	as when it was released.
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Do you see that?

1 A (No audible response.) 2 Q Now, you recall receiving it. 3 A Yes. 4 Q All right, do you and do you you say you do : 5 recall reading it?	not
A Yes. Q All right, do you and do you you say you do	not
Q All right, do you and do you you say you do	not
	not
5 recall reading it?	
5 lectif fedding for	
6 A No.	
7 Q Or you didn't read it?	1
8 A No, I did not read it.	
9 Q All right, and my question is why didn't you not re	∍ad
10 it? Or why didn't why didn't you read it?	
11 A Mrs. Stewart was taking care of these of this.	
Q All right, and that was, that was the sole reason	vhy
you didn't read it?	
14 A Yes.	
Q Did Mrs. Stewart bring this to your attention?	
16 A Yes.	
Q Did you talk about it with her?	
18 A Yes.	
Q What understanding, if any, did you have as to wha	t
20 this order meant?	
21 A That we it was our obligation to take care of the	ne FM
22 blanketing.	
Q All right, and how were you supposed to take care	of
the FM blanketing?	
25 A Through filters.	

1	Q	All right, that was the understanding that you had?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And what were you supposed to do with these filters?
4	A	Install them up on the sets.
5	Q	All right, and which sets were you to install them on?
6	A	Wherever it was needed.
7	Q	All right, were there any sets that were not to be
8	include	ed?
9	A	Mobile sets, in my opinion, was not.
10	Q	All right, and what did you understand to be a mobile
11	set?	
12	A	Something that you could carry around.
13	Q	All right, would it make any difference in terms of how
14	big it	was?
15	A	If it had, if it had a handle on it, it was mobile.
16		JUDGE STIRMER: You mean to say if you had a 19-inch
17	televis	sion set that happened to have a handle on it, that
18	would h	oe a mobile set in your judgement?
19		WITNESS: If it was not attached to a permanent
20	antenna	1.
21		JUDGE STIRMER: Where did you get that definition?
22		WITNESS: I guess, it was just my opinion.
23		JUDGE STIRMER: That was your opinion at that time.
24	You did	n't seek any professional advice as to whether or not
25	your or	pinion was valid, did you?

1	WITNESS: We tried to, sir.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: Did you call your counsel, Mr. Dunne,
3	and ask him what a portable or a mobile set was considered?
4	WITNESS: No, sir.
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Did you call your consulting engineer,
6	Mr. Fisher, and ask him what would be considered a mobile
7	WITNESS: No, sir.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: set?
9	WITNESS: No, sir.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, who did you consult, if anybody?
11	WITNESS: The book that came from the FM blanketing
12	interference here.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Did that define what a mobile receiver
14	was?
15	WITNESS: And, and the dictionary.
16	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, let me see if I understand you.
17	If I had a big console that I happened to be able to carry
18	around, would that make it a mobile set?
19	WITNESS: No, sir.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
21	BY MR. SHOOK:
22	Q All right, Mr. Stewart, by chance are you referring to
23	one of the attachments that came to the October 21, 1988
24	letter? It you're not going to see it in the FCC order;
25	you have to look at the letter.

1	A I would look in here where
2	MR. DUNNE: You just look
3	WITNESS: Okay. Yes.
4	BY MR. SHOOK:
5	Q All right, the answer to the question was "yes"?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And what exactly are you looking at now so that the
8	record will be clear?
9	A We're looking at page 2. It says "Area Receiver
10	Devices." It has one, two, three, four, five, on the indica-
11	tion about "the blanketing rules are concerned with inter-
12	ference to area receiving devices, radios and TV's. The
13	following are the specialized exemptions of the FM blanketing
14	rules." And I took it, number five, "mobile and portable
15	receivers."
16	Q All right. So this was from the FCC letter to the
17	station, and that's what you based your understanding on?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Now, with respect to the October 1990 order, which is
20	Mass Media Exhibit No. 25, what understanding if, if any did
21	you have with respect to the station's obligation to restore
22	radio reception to complainants?
23	A None. No.
24	Q All right, your understanding was that your obligation
25	to restore service was limited to television?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And how did you come to that understanding?
3	A	I just took it for granted.
4	Q	Did you consult with counsel about that?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Did you consult with your consulting engineer about
7	that?	
8	A	No.
9	Q	Now, as a consequence of this of Mass Media
10	Exhibit	t 25, do you recall that a questionnaire was developed
11	to be	sent to the complainants that appeared in Attachment A
12	of that	t order?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Do you recall what role you had in formulating the
15	questi	onnaire?
16	A	No.
17	Q	All right.
18		MR. SHOOK: Now, I'm placing before the witness a
19	docume	nt that has on the top KOKS P.O. Box 967, et cetera. It
20	is not	exactly Mass Media Exhibit No. 31 because this was one
21	that wa	as sent to the Hillises as opposed to the one that was
22	sent to	o Doris Smith.
23		BY MR. SHOOK:
24	Q	Now, Mr. Stewart, when I refer to a questionnaire that
25	was de	veloped and sent to individuals, you do recognize this

1	documen	t as the questionnaire that was sent out?
2	A	I have saw it, yes.
3	Q	Now, what role, if any, did you have in developing this
4	questic	onnaire?
5	A	None.
6	Q	Did you have any discussions with individuals who
7	prepare	ed this questionnaire?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And which individuals were they?
10	A	The secretary, Mrs. Stewart.
11	Q	You mean your wife.
12	A	Mrs. Stewart, yes.
13	Q	All right, and what did you discuss with her?
14	A	It was shown to me and I said, "Well, it looks ade-
15	quate.	It looks like it would be sufficient."
16	Q	All right. So, in other words, she ran it by you for
17	your ap	proval before sending it out.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Did you take any note in looking through that ques-
20	tionnai	re that there weren't places for people to note that
21	they ha	nd more than one television?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Did you take note of the fact that there wasn't any
24	place o	on the questionnaire to note whether they were having
25	any pro	blems with their radios?

1	A No.
2	Q Do you have any explanation as to why the station,
3	KOKS, did not employ Mr. Lampe to help the station in its
4	efforts to comply with the FCC's blanketing interference rule?
5	MR. DUNNE: Objection, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: Time.
7	MR. DUNNE: Yes, we need the time frame here.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: He was employed at one time.
9	MR. SHOOK: Understood, Your okay, prior to
10	JUDGE STIRMER: So reframe your question in refer-
11	ence
12	MR. SHOOK: Okay.
13	BY MR. SHOOK:
14	Q The time period I'm interested in is prior to 1991.
15	Why did the station not use Mr. Lampe to assist it in its
16	efforts to restore reception to complainants of blanketing
17	interference?
18	A There was no finances.
19	Q Now, during calendar year 1990, can you give me a
20	general description of the physical assets that radio station
21	KOKS had?
22	MR. DUNNE: Objection, Your Honor. I think that's a
23	little bit broad for this witness. Can we make it a little
24	bit more specific?
25	MR. SHOOK: All right we can make it the first of

1	the yea	ar of 1990, limited to a day.
2		JUDGE STIRMER: Do you understand the question?
3		WITNESS: (No audible response.)
4		JUDGE STIRMER: All right, as of January, 1990, what
5	were th	ne physical assets of this station?
6		WITNESS: None.
7		BY MR. SHOOK:
8	Q	Now, the station owned real estate, did it not?
9		JUDGE STIRMER: What do you mean "none"? You mean you
10	didn't	have any assets at that time? What kind of an answer
11	is that	:?
12		BY MR. SHOOK:
13	Q	Property
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Did the station have any property as of January, 1990?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What property did the station have?
18	A	It, it had where the transmitter is.
19	Q	That parcel of land that includes the transmitter, the
20	power -	· -
21	A	The 7 1/2 acres, yes.
22	Q	and the house in which you, and your wife, and son
23	live.	
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	All right, and did the station have a studio?

1	A	No.
2	Q	The station rented studio space?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	All right, and with respect to the land, and the tower,
5	and the	e house, was there a mortgage on that property?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And what was the amount of that mortgage?
8	A	\$95,000.
9	Q	All right, do you know what the value of the property
10	was?	
11	A	Approximately the same.
12	Q	When you purchased that property back in 1985, what did
13	you pu	chase what was the purchase price of the property?
14	A	\$150,000.
15	Q	And that was in 1985?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And are you telling us that as of January 1, 1990, the
18	value o	of that property had dropped to 95,000?
19	A	то 95,000?
20	Q	Well, sir, if I understood your answer correctly, you
21	have a	\$95,000 mortgage
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	on the property as of January 1, 1990, and the value
24	of the	property in other words, if you had to sell it that
25	day, it	was \$95,000, is that what you're saying?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	So, in other words, the value of the real estate
3	droppe	d from \$150,000 to \$95,000 between 1985 and 1990?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	All right. Do you have anything in writing that would
6	verify	that?
7	A	Only what the banker told me.
8	Q	Do your tax records reflect that the value of the
9	proper	ty was \$95,000?
10	A	As a non-profit organization, it has no tax.
11	Q	In other words, when the property was transferred from
12	you and	d your wife to Calvary back in 1988, what happened to
13	the ta	x situation?
14	A	It became non-exempt [sic].
15	Q	All right
16	A	Well, or exempt.
17	Q	so you don't have to pay any real estate taxes?
18	A	No, sir.
19		MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I, I find the answer with
20	respect	to the valuation of the property inherently unbeliev-
21	able an	nd I would request that documents be produced by the, by
22	the sta	ation in order to, you know, justify a response that
23	suggest	s that the value of the real property dropped from
24	\$150,00	00 in 1985 to in the vicinity of \$95,000 in 1990,
25	because	e the suggestion, of course, is that there is no equity

1	in the property and, therefore, nothing upon which the station
2	could rely for assets, and I, I find that inherently unbeliev-
3	able.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: What kinds of records do you think they
5	would have?
6	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, I would object to it on rele-
7	vance. I mean, the witness has testified that there was not
8	enough funds
9	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I, I have great difficulty with
10	this witnesses' testimony, to be quite candid with you,
11	Mr. Dunne. I don't know what kind of records they can pro-
12	duce. Did you try to sell your house, or the house
13	WITNESS: From '85 to '90, the real estate market went
14	"teflunk."
15	JUDGE STIRMER: And that's why your value dropped?
16	WITNESS: That's to what the banker said.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: Did the fact that the towers erected
18	right in your backyard depreciate the value of your property?
19	WITNESS: It wasn't talked about.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: But you've never put your, your, your
21	property, or the, the property owned by Calvary, on the market
22	to determine
23	WITNESS: No, sir.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: the market value.
25	WITNESS: No, sir.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: And this value that you attribute to
2	it, namely 95,000, is something that, that you believe it's
3	worth
4	WITNESS: Your Honor
5	JUDGE STIRMER: based on some discussions you've had
6	with a banker?
7	WITNESS: He told me that due to the real estate
8	market, that he would be that he couldn't get his money
9	back that was owed against it.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
11	BY MR. SHOOK:
12	Q Perhaps there's another way of dealing with this. When
13	you were speaking with your banker, did you attempt to borrow
14	any money against the equity of the property?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q Have you ever attempted to borrow money against the
17	equity of the property?
18	A No, sir.
19	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, may we go off the record for a
20	moment, please, out of the presence of the witness? I think I
21	can short-circuit some of these questions.
22	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I think I'm finished with that
23	line of questioning.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. All right, let's move
25	along.

1	1	BY MR. SHOOK:
2	Q	All right, Mr. Stewart, you recall that Mr. Lampe was
3		ed to help the station restore reception on or about
4		or February of 1991, do you not?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Now, were you aware either on or before January 1,
7.	1991, t	that Mr. Lampe had been to a number of homes of the
8	complai	inants of blanketing interference prior to January 1,
9	1991?	
10	A	No.
11	Q	Mr. Stewart, were you aware that blanketing complaints
12	had bee	en made to the FCC, and that the FCC in turn had for-
13	warded	those blanketing complaints to the station?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And when those complaints came to the station, did you
16	read th	nem?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Does the name Leatha Piper mean anything to you?
19	A	Only in name only. No, sir. Well
20	Q	All right, you don't recognize her?
21	A	Yes, yes. Yes.
22	Q	You recognize her name
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	as one of the complainants?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q A	All right. Now
2	i	MR. DUNNE: Open up the file
3	1	MR. SHOOK: Okay.
4]	MR. DUNNE: and you can refer to page 6 of
5	Exhibit	No. 29.
6	,	WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes.
7]	MR. DUNNE: I'm placing before the witness page 6 of
8	Mass Med	lia Bureau Exhibit No. 29. Refer to right there, now.
9	(Pause.)	
10	,	JUDGE STIRMER: Is there a question?
11]	MR. SHOOK: Not yet, Your Honor, he's reading through
12	the docu	ment.
13	(Pause.)	
14		JUDGE STIRMER: Counsel, he doesn't need to read
15	through	the whole document, does he?
16]	MR. SHOOK: No.
17		BY MR. SHOOK:
18	Q 1	Now, Mr. Stewart, did you see on page 6 of Mass Media
19	Exhibit	29 the references to Charley's TV and Charley Lampe's
20	trips to	Leatha Piper's house?
21	A	Yes, I see that.
22	Q	Did you read this at the time the complaint came to the
23	station?	
24	A 1	No.
25	Q :	You did not?

1	A	No.
2	Q	I would direct your attention to the last paragraph.
3		see the first sentence there?
4	A	Yeah, "I called."
5	Q	"I called Mr. Stewart today and I informed him of the
6	_	bill." Do you recall any such conversation between
7		lf and Leatha Piper?
8	A	No. No.
9	Q	Do you look do you see the next sentence? "His
10		`We have no money so there is nothing I can do about
11	it.'"	Do you see that?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do you recall making that statement?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Do you deny having made that statement?
16	A	No.
17	 Ω	It's possible you made it.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	You just don't remember.
20	A	That's yes.
21	Q	Do you see the next sentence?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	"He told me they were having an auction right now, so
24		pay the deejays." Do you see that?
25	A	Yes.

1	l Q	Do you recall making that, making that representation
2		tha Piper?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Do you deny making that representation?
5	A	No.
6	Q	You just don't remember it.
7	A	I don't remember.
8	Q	Do you see the last sentence? Referencing an auction
9	to pay	for new septic tank lines.
10	A	Yes, I see. Yes.
11	Q	Do you recall having a need for new septic tank lines?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	In the summer of 1989?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Does the name Dairel Denton mean anything to you?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And how is it that you're familiar with the name of
18	Dairel	Denton?
19	A	Through Mrs. Stewart, the secretary.
20	Q	And how is that you're familiar through Mrs. Stewart?
21	A	That's that she talked about receiving a note from
22	the de	ejay pertaining to Mr. Denton.
23	Q	Do you recall ever having met Mr. Denton?
24	A	No, sir.
25	Q	Do you recall ever having any conversations with

1	Mr. Denton?
2	A No.
3	Q Would you deny ever having had any conversations with
4	Mr. Denton?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. Now, Mr. Stewart, with respect to paragraph
7	well, what used to be paragraph 12 of your testimony. It's on
8	page 8. Now, with respect to the 160 filters that were pur-
9	chased by the station, it was your understanding, was it not,
10	that those filters were for the purpose of restoring tele-
11	vision reception, right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And they had nothing to do with radio reception, right
14	A Yes.
15	Q And what was the reason that the station purchased 160
16	filters?
17	A They had to be paid for in advance, and that was all
18	the money we had.
19	Q Now, with respect to paragraph 13 of your testimony
20	that appears on page 9 you see the last sentence of that
21	paragraph?
22	A Yes.
23	Q All right. Now, what specifically are you referring
24	to, or how did you find out that you were wrong about mobile,
25	mohile receivers?

1 Mrs. Stewart said that she'd been installing filters on Α mobile filters. 2 You mean she had been installing filters on portable 3 television sets? 5 Yes. Α 6 And that's what alerted you to the possibility that you may be wrong about your obligations for mobile receivers? 7 8 This was when we came to the conclusion that they were included in it. 10 All right, and I'm just trying to get a handle on when 11 you came to that discovery. At this time. 12 Α 13 All right, well, what, what time is "this time"? 14 That when she said that she'd been putting filters on mobile sets, also. 15 16 Right. What I'm not certain of from looking at this 17 testimony was when this conversation took place; when was it 18 that Mrs. Stewart let you know that she had been putting 19 portable -- or had been -- been putting filters on portable television sets? 20 21 I don't remember. It was just in general conversation. 22 All right, was it approximately during the time that 23 Mr. Lampe, and Mrs. Stewart, and yourself were going to the 24 homes of the 105 complainants to install filters, or was it 25 sometime after that?

D.C. Area 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	A	It was at that time. Yes. Yes, it was at that time.
2	Q	All right. So, in other words, in February of 1991.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Now, as a consequence of learning that, in other words,
5	that yo	ou should be putting filters on portable television
6	sets,	did the station make any orders of additional filters
7	for the	e purpose of having those filters available to install
8	on por	table television sets?
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	So 160 filters was what the station bought and that
11	was, tl	hat was the end of that?
12	A	That was all the money we had at that time.
13	Q	Right, well, how about subsequent to that time? Have
14	any ado	ditional filters been bought, or is it
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Or are you drawing down
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	the stock from the 160?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay, what additional filters have been bought?
21	A	One hundred.
22	Q	So in
23	A	One hundred filters.
24	Q	Excuse me?
25	A	One hundred additional filters.

1	Q ·	All right, so now the station has purchased 260 total?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	All right, and you still have a stock of filters at the
4	station	1?
5	A	Less than 50.
6	Q	Have any additional home visits been made other than
7	the 105	home visits that are referenced here?
8	A	No, sir.
9	Q	So in the last home visits were made in February of
10	1991.	
11	A	Yes, sir.
12		MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I'm very close to the end.
13		JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
14		BY MR. SHOOK:
15	Q	I, I know I asked this question once relative to a
16	specifi	c individual and I'm going to make it generic now:
17	were yo	ou ever in the home of a complainant and have the KOKS
18	transmi	tter turned off so that you could see what reception
19	looked	like with KOKS' transmitter off?
20	A	No, sir.
21	Q	When you were in the home of anyone for purposes of
22	dealing	with the blanketing interference complaint, did you
23	ever as	k that individual what his or her television reception
24	was lik	e before KOKS came on the air?
25	A	No, sir.

1	Q	Did you ever ask that individual what his or her
2	recept:	ion was like when KOKS went off the air subsequent to
3	the tir	me KOKS started broadcasting?
4	A	No, sir.
5	Q	Now, Mr. Stewart, with respect to paragraph 20 of your
6	testimo	ony, there's a sentence that's about two-thirds of the
7	way do	wn in the paragraph on page 12 that begins "I don't
8	remembe	er ever refusing" et cetera. Do you see that sen-
9	tence?	
10	A	That's on 20?
11	Q	Yes, sir. It's on paragraph 20, page 12.
12	A	Okay.
13	Q	Okay, you see that statement?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	All right. Are you referring to one television set per
16	househo	old there?
17	A	Yes, sir, I am.
18		MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
19		JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. Redirect, Mr
20		MR. DUNNE: I have some redirect, Your Honor.
21		JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
22		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23		BY MR. DUNNE:
24	Q	You feeling all right, Mr. Stewart?
25	A	Yes. That's yes.

1	Q	Okay, Mr. Stewart, prior to the time you went on the
2	air in	October 1988, had you ever heard of blanketing inter-
3	ference	e?
4	A	No, sir, I hadn't.
5	Q	Had you any clue from anyone that blanketing inter-
6	ference	e might be a problem with respect to your station?
7	A	No, sir.
8	Q	You had no consultation with your consulting engineer,
9	for exa	ample, about blanketing interference?
10	A	No, sir.
11	Q	And he had never mentioned it in any conversation with
12	you.	
13	A	No, sir.
14	Q	To the best of your recollection.
15	A	No, sir.
16	Q	Now, I think that I want to refer you back,
17	Mr. Ste	ewart, if I can, to your testimony concerning the
18	sequenc	e of when Mr. Baggett and Mr. Abernathy left. I
19	believe	e it was your testimony that Mr. Baggett left sometime
20	in Nove	ember of 1988, is that correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And I believe it was also your testimony that
23	Mr. Abe	rnathy left after Mr. Baggett?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And that there was roughly a month that separated the

1	one bet	tween the other?
2	A	(No audible response.)
3	Q	Are you fairly clear about that sequence, Mr. Stewart?
4	A	Mr. Abernathy never did say "I'm leaving." He
5	Mr. Abe	ernathy, just on a routine visit, he didn't show up.
6	Q	And was Mr. Abernathy a full-time employee?
7	A	No, sir.
8	Q	He was a part-time employee.
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And you just didn't notice him around after a while.
11	A	We called him, but he, he failed to come.
12	Q	And I believe in your testimony with respect to your
13	visit 1	to the Smith home in, in November of 1988 and that's
14	in para	agraph 7 of your testimony.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	It says that you that when you were there you
17	discove	ered that Mr. Abernathy had been there to the house
18	before	you, is that correct?
19	A	(No audible response.)
20	Q	Do you know what Mr. Abernathy did while he was at the
21	house t	there?
22	A	No, sir, I don't.
23	Q	Mr. Abernathy never told you.
24	A	No, sir.
25	Q	Mr. Abernathy didn't tell you that he was at the house.